

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States flatent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 450 Alexandra Wignia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/998,910	11/30/2001	Joan C. Teng	21756-011900	4169	
	51206 7	51206 7590 11/22/2005			EXAMINER	
		AND TOWNSEND	AND CREW LLP	BLAIR, DOUGLAS B		
	8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2142		
				DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/998,910	TENG, JOAN C.			
Examiner-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Douglas B. Blair	2142			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<del></del>			
(1) <u>Douglas B. Blair</u> .	(3)				
(2) William J. Daley, Reg. No. 52,471.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 2 November 2005	Time: 3:15 pm (Eastern time)				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: 103 rejection based on US 5,999,911 to Berg and US 6675261 to Shandony					
Claims discussed: 1-46					
Prior art documents discussed: Shandony					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>					
·					
1-1-					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 09/998,910

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner agreed that Shandony could not be used as prior art because its claimed priority date is the same as the present application. Finality of the office action is being withdrawn and a new office action will be issued.